

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/735,159	12/12/2003	Georg Fischer	071308.0492	3421
31625	7590 11/30/2006		EXAMINER	
BAKER BOTTS L.L.P.			NGO, HUNG V	
PATENT DEPARTMENT 98 SAN JACINTO BLVD., SUITE 1500			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	X 78701-4039		2831	
			DATE MAILED: 11/30/200	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		A 1 N -	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Office Action Summers		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/735,159	FISCHER ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit	: : :		
		Hung V. Ngo	2831	1 11		
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address			
Period fo	, ,					
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAMES of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ware to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 36(a). In no event, however, may a re will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON c, cause the application to become AB,	CATION. eply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status		•				
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 Se	entember 2006.				
·		action is non-final.				
<i>,</i> —	Since this application is in condition for allowan	· ·	ers prosecution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
D: ! 4!	·	•				
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
	Claim(s) <u>2-4,11,13-16,18 and 19</u> is/are pending		: -			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.				
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		•			
	Claim(s) <u>2-4, 11, 13-16, 18, 19</u> is/are rejected.					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
Applicati	ion Papers					
	The specification is objected to by the Examiner					
· · · · ·	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	by the Evaminer	. :			
۱۳/۱	Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	·				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex					
		William (1999 mg		: '•		
Priority u	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			. : -		
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	: '		
/	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.		: .		
	Certified copies of the priority documents		onlication No			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori	•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
	application from the International Bureau	· •	10001100 111 1110 112101121 21292			
* S	See the attached detailed Office action for a list of		received.			
		•				
Attachment	t(s)					
_	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413)	. ,		
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application			
	r No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:				

Art Unit: 2831

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2-4, 11, 13-16, 18, 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Reed (US 5,178,563) in view of Janssen et al (US 6099324).

Reed discloses a conductor device (28), a first plastic component (38), a second molded plastic component (36) are molded (col. 5, lines 4-6), wherein the conductor device is bendable about 90 degrees (Fig 3) such that the first plastic component engage the second plastic component by a snap-in locking device (latch 54)(Fig 12) to form a single integral contacting component (Fig 13)

The teaching as discussed above does not disclose a bending collar.

Janssen et al disclose a bending collar being formed in a second component (44)(Fig 6) for supporting a conductor device (34). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the bending collar with the contact assembly of Reed for the purpose of supporting the conductor device.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 09-20-2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues (1) that Reed does not disclose a bending collar, and Janssen et al fails to teach a bending collar.

With respect to (1) Janssen teaches the use of a bending collar (Figs 6, 7). In response to applicant's arguments against the references individually, one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); *In re Merck & Co.*, 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986).

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2831

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hung V. Ngo whose telephone number is (571) 272-1979. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday 8:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dean A. Reichard can be reached on (571) 272-2800 EXT 31. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

HVN 12-27-06

Huz V Na

HUNG V. NGO
PRIMARY EXAMINER